

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/804,498	03/12/2001	John Christopher Barron	BSC-162	1361	
	28120	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER		
	ROPES & GRAY LLP			DAVIS, DANIEL J		
		VATIONAL PLACE A 02110-2624		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3731		

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No. Applicant(s)							
	0.00	09/804,49	08	BARRON ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		D. Jacob I		3731						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3</u> .									
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 4-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>									
Application	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachment	(s)									
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT · No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	<b>)</b> -152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent No. 5,716,359 to Ojima et al. Ojima discloses a push-in bone anchor 30 and a biocompatible brittle cover 10. The brittle cover is capable of substantially covering the anchor 30 and breaking when implanted with the anchor. The anchor is considered "push-in" since a longitudinal force is required to insert the anchor (along with a rotational force). The cover 10 comprises hydroxyapatite, which is inherently biocompatible and bioabsorbable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ojima in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,637,631 to Kitada et al. Ojima fails to disclose one of the recited materials of claim 5. Nevertheless, Kitada teaches in col. 1, lines 44-49, that polylactic acid is a brittle material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either the brittle materials disclosed by Ojima or the brittle polylactic acid as taught by Kitada.

Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ojima in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,545,178 to Kensey et al. Ojima fails to disclose an antibiotic. Nevertheless, Kensey discloses an implant comprising an antibiotic that is located both on the surface of the implant or within the implant material (col. 17, lines 39-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an antibiotic in the either on the surface or disposed with the cover to prevent infection as taught by Kensey.

Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ojima in view of WO 99/37216 to Gellman et al. Ojima fails to disclose the use of an antibiotic or the specific material of the antibiotic. Nevertheless, Gellman teaches the use of an antibiotic having the recited materials of claim 8 (page 3, lines 23-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an antibiotic of the recited materials as taught by Gellman in order to prevent infection.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,498 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD May 24, 2004 DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER